

**United States District Court**  
***District of North Dakota***

Richard Arjun Kaul, MD, Jane Doe, and John Doe,

Plaintiffs,

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

v.

James Paul Oetken, personal and official capacity,  
New York State Attorney Grievance Committee,  
North Dakota Medical Board, Jane Doe, and  
John Doe,

Case No. 1:25-cv-147

Defendants.

- 
- ☐ **Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.
- ☐ **Decision by Court.** This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.
- ☒ **Decision on Motion.** This action came before the Court on motion. The issues have been considered and a decision rendered.
- ☐ **Stipulation.** This action came before the court on motion of the parties. The issues have been resolved.
- ☐ **Dismissal.** This action was voluntarily dismissed by Plaintiff pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii).

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**

Pursuant to the Order dated June 27, 2025, Defendant's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 1) is deemed MOOT and this action dismissed with prejudice.

Date: June 27, 2025

KARI M. KNUDSON, CLERK OF COURT

by: /s/ Janelle Brunner, Deputy Clerk